



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT FC MMERCE

Patent and Trademark: ffice
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/925,703	09/09/9	7 ALLEN	D	MICL: 024 (97=

LM51/1206

COE F. MILES
TROP PRUNER HU & MILES, PC
8554 KATY FREEWAY
SUITE 100
HOUSTON TX 77024

EXAMINER						
OPIE,G	***					
	т					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER					
2755						
DATE MAILED:	12/06/99					

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION								
THE PERIOD FOR	RESPONSE:							
a) is extended to	run	_ or continues to run		from the date of the final rejection				
b) expires three nevent however	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.							
The date on wi purposes of de	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.							
	due in accordance with							
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 10-21-99 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:								
1. The proposed a	mendments to the claim	and /or specification	will not be entered a	and the final rejection stands because:				
a. There is a presented	no convincing showing (d.	under 37 CFR 1.116(I) why the proposed	amendment is necessary and was not earlier				
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).								
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).								
d. They are appeal.	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.							
e. They pre	sent additional claims w	rithout cancelling a co	erresponding number	of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:								
		· ·	·					
Newly proposes the non-allowab	d or amended claims le claims.	wo	ould be allowed if sub	mitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling				
3. Upon the filing a be as follows:	an appeal, the proposed	amendment 🗌 will	be entered will	not be entered and the status of the claims will				
Claims allowed:								
	l to:							
Claims rejected: Howey								
	response has overcome	the following rejection	on(s):					
4. The affidavit, ex	chibit or equest for reco	nsideration has been file as by oa which is f	considered but does	not overcome the rejection because the				
5. The affidavit or e presented.	xhibit will not be consid	ered because applica	nt has not shown go	od and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier				
_	g correction has	has not been app	proved by the examin	Mand Bararblan				
Other				MAJID A. BANANKHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER				

BEST AVAILABLE COPY